

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,333	01/23/2002	Parag M. Doshi	Doshi 1-1-3-10-1	8021
	7590 06/11/2008 & SHERIDAN, LLP/	EXAMINER		
	HNOLOGIES, INC BURY AVENUE		GREY, CHRISTOPHER P	
SHREWSBUR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAILDATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/055,333	DOSHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER P. GREY	2616		

	CHRISTOPHER P. GREY	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection whi	ahawaria latar In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	a avtancian faa				
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	icaliea.				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			occuse				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	,·					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Aung S. Moe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

The applicant argued with respect to the rejected claims 1, 12 and 21, that the cited art does not disclose querying a unified location management device having location information stored for users of said different network protocols. Particularly the applicant argues that the B and C numbers are not stored in any type of repository much less a repository equivalent to the one claimed, and that the C number is supplied by the subsciber and not a unified location management device, according to pages 9 and 10 of the applicants remarks.

The examiner maintains that the cited art discloses all of the above, as Havinis discloses an HLR (fig. 1, 280, where the HLR is equivilaent to a unified location management device) which supplies C-numbers (para 0024, notice that the the HLR returned to C number to the GMSC) contrary to the applicants remarks. Furthermore, the HLR contains references to the B numbers, as the GMSC uses the B number in order to query the HLR, where in order for the HLR or to respond to such a query, the B numbers must be stored within HLR and used for identification purposes according to para 0020. Thus the HLR contains both B numbers and C numbers, and the examiner notes that the HLR is a location diababase, hence the acromy.

The applicant alos argued that the cited art does not ddisclose the location information being for users of said different network protocols. The examiner respectfully disagrees, as stated above, Havinis discloses both B and C numbers stored within the HLR, where the B number denotes a user within the PLMN such as that of 210 in fig 1 (thus a first protocol) and the C number denoting a subscriber in a PSTn network such as 410 of fig 3 (thus a second protocol different than that of the first).

All other claims depend from the independent claims 1, 12 and 21, so therefore the rejections are maintained accordingly